

1 FEDERICO C. SAYRE, ESQ.
2 SAYRE, MORENO, PURCELL AND BOUCHER
3 10866 Wilshire Blvd., Fourth Floor
4 Los Angeles, CA 90024
5 (213) 475-0505

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

7
8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
10

11 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF
12 CALIFORNIA,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 GERALD ARMSTRONG,

16 Defendant.

17 _____
18 MARY SUE HUBBARD,

19 Intervenor.
20 _____

)CASE NUMBER: C 420 153

)

)JOINDER IN

)OPPOSITION TO

)RECONSIDERATION OF

)UNSEALING ORDER

)

)DATE: NOV. 30, 1988

)TIME: 9:00 A.M.

)DEPT: 56

)

)

)

)

)

)

21 NOW COME BENT CORYDON, MARY CORYDON, MARK CHACON,
22 MARC LUTOVSKY, DOROTHY KOLB AND PHIL BLACK, through
23 counsel and join in the Opposition to the Motion for
24 Reconsideration of the Order unsealing the files in the
25 within cause.

26 However, insofar as the Motion for Reconsideration
27 does not seek reconsideration as to the entire file but
28

1 only a small part thereof, these interested parties
2 hereby request that the Court lift the stay as to the
3 file except for the specific documents for which
4 reconsideration has been requested.

5 These interested parties further request that the
6 Court take note of the attached Declaration of Vicki
7 Aznaran, an ex-Scientologist of high rank, particularly
8 with regard to paragraphs 12, 13, 14 and 16, showing a
9 repeated Scientology practice of destroying evidence
10 and showing other disdain for the court system (as in
11 paragraphs 11 and 15) including attempts to influence
12 judges (paragraphs 15 and 21). In view of this pattern
13 and practice, these interested parties request that the
14 Court, on its own motion, modify the unsealing order so
15 as to assure that its intention is carried out: that
16 is, that all interested parties and initially the
17 parties who moved for the unsealing order, have access
18 to the complete file without fear that persons
19 affiliated with the party opposed to the unsealing do
20 not prevent access to the file, or worse.

21 If the Court prefers not to so rule on its own
22 motion, then the parties represented herein withdraw
23 their request for a lifting of the stay so that they

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///


27

28

1 may fully brief this issue prior to the unsealing of
2 the file in order to assure the integrity of the file
3 once unsealed.

4 DATED: *LN. 21, 1988*

6 SAYRE, MORENO, PURCELL & BOUCHER

7 

8 _____
9 FEDERICO E. SAYRE, ESQ.
10 TOBY L. PLEVIN, ESQ.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28